

## Effects of microbial contamination, cross-contamination and misidentification

1. Bubenik J. Cross-contamination of cell lines in culture. *Folia Biologica*. 46 (5):163-164. (2000)
2. Buehring GC et al. Cell Line cross-contamination: how aware are mammalian cell culturists of the problems and how to monitor it? *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology. Animal*; 40 (7): 211-215. (2004)
3. Denecke J et al. Falsification of tetrazolium dye (MTT) based cytotoxicity assay results due to mycoplasma contamination of cell cultures. *Anticancer Research*. 19 (2A):1245-1248. (1999)
4. Drexler HG et al. Mix-ups and mycoplasma: the enemies within. *Leukemia Research*. 26 (4):329-333. (2002)
5. Drexler HG et al. False leukemia-lymphoma cell lines: An update on over 500 cell lines. *Leukemia*. 17 (2):416-426. (2003)
6. Garnick RL et al. Raw materials as a source of contamination in large-scale cell culture. *Developments in Biological Standardization*. 93:21-29. (1998)
7. Kagemann G et al. Impact of *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* infection on L-arginine metabolism: differential regulation of the human and murine iNOS gene. *Biological Chemistry*. 386 (10):1055-1063. (2005)
8. Langdon SP et al. Cell culture contamination: an overview. *Methods in Molecular Medicine*. 88:309-317. (2004)
9. Lincoln CK et al. Cell culture contamination: sources, consequences, prevention, and elimination. *Methods in Cell Biology*. 57:49-65. (1998)
10. MacLeod RA et al. Widespread intraspecies cross-contamination of human tumor cell lines arising at source. *International Journal of Cancer*. 83 (4):555-563. (1999)
11. McGarrity GJ et al. Cell culture mycoplasmas. In: *The Mycoplasma*, Vol. IV. Razin, S and Barile, MF, eds. New York: Academic Press, pp. 353-390. (1985)
12. Markovic O et al. Cell cross-contamination in cell cultures: the silent and neglected danger. *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology. Animal*. 34 (1):1-8. (1998)
13. Masters JR. HeLa cells 50 years on: the good, the bad and the ugly. *Nature Reviews*. 2:315-319; (2002)
14. Masters JR. Human Cell Cross-contamination Since 1983. *In Vitro Cellular & Dev Bio – Animal*, 40:10-A (2004)
15. Melcher R et al. SKY and genetic fingerprinting reveal a cross-contamination of the putative normal colon epithelial cell line NCOL-1. *Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics*. 151(1):84-87 (2005)
16. Mirjalili A et al. Microbial contamination of cell cultures: a 2-years study. *Biologicals*. 33 (2):81-85. (2005)
17. Thompson EW et al. LCC15-MB cells are MDA-MB-435: A review of misidentified breast and prostate cell lines. *Clinical & Experimental Metastasis*. 21:535-541. (2004)
18. Wenzel U et al. Reconsidering cell line cross-contamination in NCOL-1. *Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics*. 163 (1):95-96. (2005)
19. No Authors listed. Contamination of cell lines—a conspiracy of silence. *Lancet Oncology*. 2 (7):393. (2001)

## Effects of long-term culturing

20. Behrens I et al. Do cell culture conditions influence the carrier-mediated transport of peptides in Caco-2 cell monolayers? *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 19 (5):433-442. (2003)
21. Briske-Anderson MJ et al. Influence of culture time and passage number on morphological and physiological development of Caco-2 cells. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 214 (3):248-257. (1997)
22. Chang-Liu CM et al. Effect of passage number on cellular response to DNA-damaging agents: cell survival and gene expression. *Cancer Letters*. 26 (113):77-86. (1997)
23. Esquet M et al. LNCaP prostatic adenocarcinoma cells derived from low and high passage numbers display divergent responses not only to androgens but also to retinoids. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 62:391-399. (1997)
24. Langelier EG et al. Effect of culture conditions on androgen sensitivity of the human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. *Prostate*. 23 (3):213-223. (1993)
25. MacLeod RA et al. Identity of original and late passage Dami megakaryocytes with HEL erythroleukemia cells shown by combined cytogenetics and DNA fingerprinting. *Leukemia*. 11 (12):2032-2038. (1997)
26. Riley SA et al. Active hexose transport across cultured human Caco-2 cells: characterization and influence of culture conditions. *Biochimica et Biophysica acta*. 1066 (2):175-182. (1991)
27. Sambuy Y et al. The Caco-2 cell line as a model of the intestinal barrier; influence of cell and culture-related factors on Caco-2 cell functional characteristics. *Cell Biology and Toxicology*. 21:1-26. (2005)
28. Vierck JL et al. Interpretation of cell culture phenomena. *Methods in Cell Science*. 22 (1):79-81. (2000)
29. Wenger SL et al. Comparison of established cell lines at different passages by karyotype and comparative genomic hybridization. *Bioscience Reports*. 24 (6):631-639. (2004)
30. Yu H et al. Evidence for diminished functional expression of intestinal transporters in Caco-2 cell monolayers at high passages. *Pharmaceutical Research*. 14 (6):757-762. (1997)

## Other

31. Hartung T et al. Good cell culture practice: ECVAM good cell culture practice task force report 1, ATLA 30:407-414 (2002)
32. Hay RJ et al. Cell Line Preservation and Authentication in "Animal Cell Culture," J.R.W. Masters (ed.), J. Wiley, Inc., Oxford University Press, New York City, (2000)
33. Nardone RM. Eradication of Cross-contaminated cell lines: A call for action, Available at: <http://www.Biotrac.com/pages/authentication.html>. Accessed May 22, 2006.
34. O'Brien SJ. Cell culture forensics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 98:7656-7658 (2001)

ATCC requests that cell lines acquired from ATCC be referenced in scientific publications with the common name followed by the ATCC catalog number; e.g., NIH/3T3, ATCC® CRL-1658™